



INSTITUTE OF
CHARTERED
SHIPBROKERS

Voyage Chartering Masterclass

LUIS BERNAT FICS, CHAIRMAN
PUNIT OZA FICS, PRESIDENT
VANCOUVER, NOV 2024



INSTITUTE OF
CHARTERED
SHIPBROKERS

Case Study

Voyage Chartering Scenario: Baltic Timber Trade

Scenario Overview:

A shipping company, "SeaBaltic Transport Co.," is looking to negotiate a voyage charter for transporting timber from ports in the Baltic Sea to various destinations in Europe. Participants will role-play as representatives of Ocean Transport Co. and a potential charterer, "TimberWorld Ltd.," a company needing timber for construction projects.

Task: Negotiate A Voyage Charter

Objective: To simulate the negotiation process of a voyage charter agreement, focusing on critical elements such as freight rates, laycan dates, and responsibilities.

Roles:

SeaBaltic Transport Co.: Shipowner representative (1st group participants)

TimberWorld Ltd.: Charterer representative (2nd group participants)

Facilitators: Moderators who guides the discussion and offers insights.

Negotiation Points

Freight Rate: Discuss the current market rates for timber transport and agree on a competitive freight rate.

Laycan Dates: Establish the laycan (laydays and canceling date) and confirm flexibility based on port availability and weather conditions.

Cargo Details: Specify the type of timber, quantity, loading ports, and discharge ports.

Laytime and Demurrage: Agree on acceptable laytime and demurrage rates in case of delays at loading or discharge ports.

Bunker Costs: Address how fuel costs will be managed, especially given current market volatility.

Insurance and Liability: Discuss insurance coverage for vessel, including clauses related to damage or loss.

Negotiation Process

Each group presents their initial offers, followed by counteroffers.

Encourage participants to use negotiation techniques, such as creating win-win situations and understanding the other party's needs.

Focus on communication skills and collaboration during the negotiation.

Remember the Common Pitfalls

Lack of Clarity: Failing to clearly define terms can lead to misunderstandings. Example:
Ambiguous laycan dates can result in disputes.

Ignoring Market Trends: Not considering current market conditions when setting freight rates can lead to uncompetitive offers.

Insufficient Risk Assessment: Neglecting to address potential risks related to delays, damages, and unforeseen events can expose both parties to losses.

Overlooking Documentation: Missing key clauses in the charter party, such as bunker clauses and liability terms, can lead to disputes later on.

Remember the Best Practices

Clear Communication: Ensure all terms are explicitly stated in the charter party agreement to avoid future disputes.

Market Research: Conduct thorough research on current freight rates and market conditions before negotiations.

Risk Mitigation: Use appropriate insurance and liability clauses to safeguard against potential risks.

Documentation and Review: Always review the charter party agreement with legal counsel to ensure all aspects are covered.



INSTITUTE OF
CHARTERED
SHIPBROKERS

Quiz